

La Robotique au Service de l'Homme

Oussama Khatib Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Department of Computer Science Stanford University



Human-Centered Robotics

Oussama Khatib Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Department of Computer Science Stanford University





















Historical Perspective



The Drawer







The Invention of "A Little Mechanical Family"



The Drawer - The Musician - The Writer

The Musician





The Writer



A Mechanical Computer







Service & Assistance



Surgical Environment



Robotically Aided Surgery



.. in human interaction





н

CTION

VIRTUAL

ACT

NTER

- digital actors
- virtual worlds
- synthetic movies
- simulated environments
- social interaction



.. in the human environment









The Challenge

Sensing and Perception real-time, unstructured world Planning and Control many degrees of freedom human-like skills, learning Human-Robot Interaction cognitive and physical Mechanisms and Actuation Safety & Performance

Interactivity & Human-Friendly





Safety

Human-Friendly Robots

Requirements

- Safety
- Performance





Technologies



Heavy structure

Conventional Geared Drive:

- Lighter structure
- Large reflected actuator inertia



Effective Inertia

 $(J_{link} + N^2 J_{motor})$



Actuation Requirements

Assumed Torque Requirements



Torque Vs Frequency: Square Wave



Distributed Macro Mini (DM²) Approach



DM2 - Human-Friendly Robot



"the high capacity of a large robot with the fast dynamics and safety of a small one"

DM² Performance





- 10x reduction in effective inertia
- 3x increase in position control bandwidth



 10x decrease in trajectory tracking error

DM² Testbed





$S2\rho$: Stanford Human-Safe Robot



artificial muscles with electrical motors and compact pressure regulators
$S2\rho$: Stanford Human-Safe Robot



$S2\rho$: Stanford Human-Safe Robot





Shape Deposition Manufacturing





Multi-material molding

Component embedding





$S2\rho_{1.5}$: New Design



$S2\rho_{1.5}$: New Design







Safety Comparison

S2p

Effective Mass: 0.5Kg

DM²

Effective Mass: 3.5Kg

Human

Effective Mass: 2.1Kg

PUMA560

Effective Mass: 25Kg



Safety Comparison

S2p(payload: 33.33N)

Normalized Effective Mass: 0.015

DM² (Payload 60N)

Normalized Effective Mass: 0.058

Human (Payload 62N)

Normalized Effective Mass: 0.034

PUMA560 (Payload 21.56N)

Normalized Effective Mass: 1.154



Simulation Condition

Impact velocity: 3 m/s (= 10.8 Km/h)

Stiffness between human and robot: 37000 N/m Head mass: 5.1kg (mean mass of U.S male)

$S2\rho$: Stanford Human-Safe Robot



The Challenge

Sensing and Perception real-time, unstructured world **Planning and Control** many degrees of freedom human-like skills, learning Human-Robot Interaction cognitive and physical Mechanisms and Actuation Safety & Performance

Interactivity & Human-Friendly



Stanford Robotic Platforms Romeo & Juliet (1993)



Mobile Manipulation Human Guided Motion & Human-Robot Interaction



Stanford Robotic Platforms - Romeo & Juliet (1993)







Humanoid Robot Control

branching and under-actuated

- Whole-body control strategies
- Constraints and Multi-contacts
- Balance, Locomotion, & Manipulation







Joint motions Inverse Kinematics

Human-like Artificial Energy

Whole-body Control



Task & Posture Decomposition

Task Dynamics and Control

Task Dynamics

$$\Lambda \ddot{x} + \mu + p = F$$

Task Control

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \hat{\Lambda}(-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{V}_{\text{Task}}) + \hat{\mu} + \hat{p}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \boldsymbol{J}^{T}\boldsymbol{F}$$



Task Dynamics – Branching Structures

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} A_{12} \dots A_{1L} \\ A_{21} A_{22} \dots A_{2L} \\ \cdots \\ A_{L1} A_{L2} \dots A_{LL} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \ddot{x}_2 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_6 \\$$

D

Task/Posture Control Structure

Decomposition in torque space

$$\Gamma = J_{task}^{T} F_{task} + N_{task}^{T} \Gamma_{posture}$$
Task Torques:

$$\Gamma_{task} = J_{task}^{T} F_{task}$$
Task Consistent Posture Torques:

$$\Gamma_{posture|task} = N_{task}^{T} \Gamma_{posture}$$
Dynamic Consistency:

$$N_{task}^{T} \Gamma_{posture} \Rightarrow \ddot{x}_{task} = 0$$
in configuration space

$$\delta q = \overline{J}_{task} \, \delta x_{task} + N_{task} \, \delta q_{posture}$$



Task and Posture Control



Task Field







Dynamically Decoupled

> no joint trajectories

Learning from the human



Posture Field?

Human Natural Motion







Motion Capture

Motion Characteristics

Human Motion Characterization



Human motion



Marker data



Skeletal physiology



Muscular physiology







Simulation 79 DOF and 136 Muscles Biometric Data & Bone Geometry







Motion capture

Learning from the Human

In learned tasks, humans minimize muscular effort, under physical and "social" constraints



Physiology-based Posture Field

Physiology-based Posture Field



A Task, $F: \Gamma = J^T F$ Muscle actuation: $\Gamma = L^T m$ Muscle capacities: $N_c \longrightarrow Configure to route to$

Configuration-dependent torque bounds

Physiology-based Posture Field

Human posture is adjusted to reduce muscular effort

Human-muscular Energy minimized:

$$E = cm^2$$

Function of physiology, mechanical advantage, and task

$$E(q) = F^{T} [J(L^{T} N_{c}^{-2} L)^{-1} J^{T}]F$$



Data from Subjects





Data from Subjects



Validation - Arm Effort



Validation - Arm Effort



Validation – whole-body effort












SAI Environment Dynamic simulation, control, & haptics



SAI Neuromuscular Library



Human Motion Reconstruction

Injury prevention, Pathology Evaluation, and Athletics



Skill Learning – Tai Chi



Skill Learning – Tai Chi









Contact/Collision Resolution





Crash Tests



Constraints



Constraints



 $\Gamma = J J_{cristisk}^T F_{F,ristisk} + N N_{cristisk}^T (J F_{task}^T F_{task} + N_{task}^T \Gamma_{posture})$



Self Collision

Obstacles





Elastic Planning Real-time collision-free path modification

Connecting Reactive Local Avoidance with Global Motion Planning



Elastic Planning





Elastic Planning





Integration of Locomotion



Multi-Contact Whole-body Control Integration of Whole-Body Control & Locomotion



Under-actuated

Balance

Reaction forces

Multi-Contact Whole-body Control



 $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{J}_{cttask}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{cttask} + \mathbf{N}_{ctask}^{T} (\mathbf{\Gamma}_{task}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{task} + \mathbf{N}_{task}^{T} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{posture})$

Balanced Supporting Contacts Internal Force Control – Virtual Linkage



Balanced Supporting Contacts Internal Force Control – Virtual Linkage





Unified Whole Body Control with Constraints and Contacts

Dynamics

$$\Lambda_{\otimes}\dot{g}_{\otimes} + \mu_{\otimes} + p_{\otimes} + F_{f} = F_{\otimes}$$
Control
$$F_{\otimes} = \hat{\Lambda}_{\otimes} F_{\otimes}^{*} + \hat{\mu}_{\otimes} + \hat{p}_{\otimes}$$

$$F_{\otimes}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{c|s} \\ F_{f|c|s} \\ F_{m|f|c|s} \\ F_{m|f|c|s} \\ F_{m|f|c|s} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_{a} = (\overline{UN_{s}})^{T} J_{\otimes}^{T} F_{\otimes}$$



Architecture





Implementation on the Physical Robot?



Torque to Position Transformer



Experimental Result – hand task





Robot-Human Haptic Interaction












Francois Conti Jaeheung Park Irena Pashchenko Vince De Sapio Luis Sentis Peter Thaulad James Warren Mike Zinn Tine Lefebvre Jin-Sung Kwon Dong-Joon Shin



Alan Bowling Oliver Brock Kyong-Sok Chang Sanford Dickert **Bob Holmberg** Charity Lu **Oscar Madrigal** Sean Quinlan Diego Ruspini **Costantinos Stratelos** Sriram Viji **Dave Williams** Elena Pacchierotti Alex Broos Edwardo Fukushima Maurice Halg **Pierre-Olivier Latour** Javier Minguez Laurence Meylan Nicolas Turro









