

CF-Induction applied to Metabolic Flux Analysis

Andrei Doncescu Katsumi Inoue Gilles Roux LAAS Toulouse NII Tokyo LAAS Toulouse

Goal

- Explain and predict the metabolic pathway into the cell
- Generic Model :
 - Saccharomyces erevisiae,
 - E-coli
- Inductive Logic Programming : can explain the biological knowledge

Metabolic Flux Analysis

• Definition :

Metabolic pathways are sequences of enzyme-catalyzed reaction steps which convert the substrate to a variety of products to satisfy the needs of the cell. A huge set of biochemical reactions assume the *reproduction* and the *survey* of the cell.

Flux is defined as the rate in which materials are processed trough a metabolic pathway. The fluxes are useful to determine the maximum theoretical yields.



Simplification of metabolic pathway

Michaelis-Menten Reaction

$$v = v_{\max} \frac{S}{K_m + S}$$

S=substrate V=rate of reaction K=constant

Explained by a logic rule

Mono-molecular enzymes catalized reactions :

 $X_{s} \rightarrow X_{p}$

substrate

product

Metabolite Balancing

 Intracellular fluxes are determined as functions of the measurable extracellular fluxes using a stochiometric model for major intracellular reactions and applying a mass balance around each intracellular metabolite.

$$S \bullet \underline{v} = \underline{r}$$

S[mxn] : stochiometric matrix of metabolic networkV : unknown fluxes at steady stater : vector of extracellular metabolite accumulation rate

Machine Learning Approaches

 Steady state, ignoring the temporal variance of metabolite concentration : Tamadoni-Nezdah 2004

 Integrating abduction and induction on the problem of inhibition of metabolic pathway : Muggleton et al.2006 Modelling of Intracellular Enzyme Kinetics

• Let's take the reaction :

$$S \xrightarrow{r_1} 2A \xrightarrow{r_2} B \xrightarrow{r_3} P$$

• The mass balance is :

$$\frac{dC_A}{dt} = 2.r1 - r2 \qquad \frac{dC_B}{dt} = r2 - r3$$

Where C is the metabolite concentration and r is the flux



Abduction and Induction: Logical Framework

Input:

- B: background theory
- E: (positive) examples / observations

Output:

- *H* : hypothesis satisfying that
 - B ∧ H ⊨– E
 - $B \land H$ is consistent.



Input of CF-induction

- clause(e1,bg,[concentration(a,up)]).
- clause(e2,obs,[concentration(d,up)]).
- clause(e3,obs,[concentration(e,down)]).
- clause(e4,obs,[concentration(c,down)]).
- clause(e5,obs,[concentration(b,up)]).
- The last clause in based on the fact that A and B are connected and
- the perturbation could be propagated from A to B.



Reaction

- clause(bR1,bg,[reaction(a,b)]).
- clause(bR2,bg,[reaction(b,d)]).
- clause(bR3,bg,[reaction(d,e)]).
- clause(bR4,bg,[reaction(e,c)]).
- clause(bR5,bg,[reaction(c,b)]).
- clause(bR6,bg,[reaction(b,c)]).

Explanation :

clause(be1,bg,[-reaction(Y,X),-reaction(X,Z),inhibited(Y,X),-inhibited(Y,Z),concentration(X,up)]) clause(be2,bg,[-concentration(Y,down),-reaction(Y,X),inhibited(Y,X),concentration(X,down)]).

Output of CF-induction

Hypotheses:

 1.[concentration(b, up), inhibited(b, c), inhibited(b, d), inhibited(c,b), inhibited(a, b), -concentration(a, up)]

- 2.[concentration(e, down),inhibited(c, b), inhibited(a, b),-concentration(a, up)]
- 3.[concentration(c, down), -inhibited(e, c), inhibited(c, b),inhibited(a, b),-concentration(a,up)]
- 4.[concentration(d, up), inhibited(c, b), inhibited(a, b),-concentration(a, up)]



• The interest result is obtain on the pathway B-D which explains why the metabolite concentration level in D is bigger than E, based on the non-inhibition between A-B and B-D.

• Another interesting result is the explanation of the low level concentration in C due to the inhibition on the pathway E-C.



Conclusion



- CF-induction is able to explain metabolic pathway in a dynamic context
- The next step diagnosis of intracellular Enzyme Kinetics.