

Panel on **Dependability and Security Challenges in the face of 21st Century Threats and Trends: Industry and Academic Perspectives**

Moderator: Tom Anderson

Rapporteur: Felicita Di Giandomenico

Panellist and topics covered

Lorenzo Strigini - Pervasive human-computer mingling and complex [socio-technical systems](#)

Paulo Carvalho - Dependability Challenges in [Personal Health Solutions](#)

John Meyer – [Dependability Assurance](#) Challenges posed by 21st Century Trends

Wilfried Steiner – Industry Perspective on [Complexity-driven](#) Challenges

Jay Lala - Cyber [Resiliency and Survivability](#) in Aerospace & Defense Domains

Panellists Position Statements

- **Lorenzo:** computers are more pervasive and *more deeply interleaved with human* functions
 - A number of potential problems in socio-technical systems generating, , e.g., misunderstanding, or dependence or degrading ability to operate autonomously
 - Open to malicious exploitation
 - **Challenges:**
 - Competences of designers of software supports
 - Redefinition of system boundaries for which each designer/vendor/regulatory agency is responsible
 - overtrust in users while undermining their ability to oversee automation
 - Interdisciplinary understanding is crucial
 - emergent effects from pervasiveness may differ from all the above
- **Paulo:** Need of a paradigm shift in health provision from acute illness to chronic illness and personalized/precision medicine
 - **Challenges**
 - Assessment of data → need of standards for deidentification, use and protection of data
 - Certification of AI-based algorithms → different models; issues of interpretability/explainability; reliability/performance
 - Need of a Regulatory Framework for data AI/ML based software for medical devices - initiatives on-going (e.g., FDA draft)

- **John:** Focus on dependability assurance

- increasing complexity of operating environments for highly dependable and secure systems (e.g., intelligent autonomous vehicles)
- the notion of "correct/failed" service provided by such AI-based "support" systems is elusive/nonexisting
- **To address the challenges**
 - Accurate definition of environment models, to incorporate in an integrated methodologies framework for assuring targeted dependability requirements
 - Definition of novel evaluation measures for AI systems in isolation
 - For AI-enabled systems determine means of inferring possible AI contributions to system failures

- **Wilfried:** Focus on current and future applications of dependable and secure cyber-physical systems

- Characterizing aspects: autonomy, collaborative behaviour, ..
- Require a mix of technologies that have their own dependability and security issues (ML, swarm intelligence, blockchain, over-the-air updates, quantum computing...)
- **Challenges:**
 - difficulties in hierarchical decomposition of system components
 - required use of non-certified COTS and new ways to assess their quality
 - shift from diversity in components implementation to diversity arguments based on diverse usage patterns

- **Jay:**
- The big effort conducted by the research community in the 20th century had allowed to reach very good results wrt **accidental faults**
- But with the 21th century
 - emerging threats and trends challenge dependability, mainly due to
 - massive interconnectedness of systems which created a large cyber attack surface area
- **Challenges**
 - Cyber resiliency and cyber survivability methods to cope with malicious attacks
 - operate through attacks without human intervention → DARPA OASIS system
 - innovative solutions are needed for cyber survivability, seen as a policy for all critical systems

Some highlights from the discussion

- AI related

- Data for training and training process: are they part of the system? (positive and negative opinions)
- Precise specification of the AI-based system vs restricting the precise specification to unsafe behaviour only
- Use of AI to enhance (traditional) means for dependability (e.g., testing, detection) → problem of false positives
- Role of AI: support vs replacing human operation
- Guidelines for explainability/interpretability → in medicine, certification relies on statistical power
- Data to use for training: all data vs only relevant data → in medicine, tendency is to use all data

- Safety-critical systems/complexity

- Monolithic vs distributed fault tolerant architecture → failure of individual components still need to be accounted for
- Management of emerging behaviours → is it part of the decomposition process?

- Education

- Educate students to explain the results → change the evaluation criteria rather than forbid use of chatGPT
- Teach fundamental disciplines in courses: statistics, maths, physics, modeling → but how to attract the interest of students in these subjects?