



# CRUTIAL

# CRitical UTility InfrastructurAL Resilience An Overview

Felicita Di Giandomenico ISTI-CNR, Pisa, Italy felicita.digiandomenico@isti.cnr.it

50<sup>th</sup> IFIP WG 10.4 Meeting - June 29- July 2, 2006





# CRUTIAL CRitical Utility InfrastructurAL Resilience

Specific Targeted Research Project: FP6-2004-IST-4-027513

EU strategic objective: Towards a global dependability and security framework

Duration: January 2006 - December 2008

Coordinator: CESI RICERCA







Network and Infrastructures Department



**Faculty of Sciences** 

#### University of Lisboa



LAAS-CNRS Centre National de la **Recherche Scientifique** 



Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche



Katholieke Universiteit Leuven



consorzio nazionale per le telecomunicazioni

# **CRUTIAL** Consortium





#### Focus on: Infrastructures operated by Power Utilities

- Power grids
- Control applications/Automation systems
- Information Systems
- Communication Systems
- Vision: Resilient distributed power control in spite of threats to the information and control infrastructures
- **Objectives:** > Provide modelling approaches for understanding and mastering the various interdependencies among power, control, communication and information infrastructures
  - Investigate distributed architectures enabling dependable control and management of the power grid

50<sup>th</sup> IFIP WG 10.4 Meeting - June 29- July 2, 2006



# **Motivations**

Resilience of *critical utility infrastructures* needs to be improved.

• SCADA systems are **real-time** sys with some **fault-tolerance** concern classically **not** designed to be widely **distributed** or remotely accessed or **open**, and designed w/o **security** in mind

• Power utilities infrastructures are the target of **new threats vulnerabilities** emerging from tight coupling of power, control, communication and information infrastructures and from evolving control systems

- Risk is not well mastered
  - current configurations probably risk far more damaging failure
    scenarios than anticipated



# Challenge

To make power control resilient in spite of threats to their information and communication infrastructures

#### **Research Agenda**

#### Analysis of critical scenarios

 in which faults in the information infrastructure provoke serious impacts on the controlled electric power infrastructure

#### • Investigation of models

- that cope with the scenario of openness, heterogeneity and evolvability endured by electrical utilities infrastructures
- Investigation of distributed architectures
  - enabling trustworthy control and management of the power grid
- Analysis and evaluation of control system scenarios
  - to provide support for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of the devised solutions





# Identification and description of Control System Scenarios

## Identification of scenarios

- analysis of the existing control systems
  - existing vulnerabilities vs. emerging issues
- investigation of new control applications
  - distributed generation and microgrids

## Description of identified scenarios

- identification of interdependencies
- definitions of appropriate measures for resilience





# Interdependencies modelling

- Methodologies and a conceptual modeling framework
  - Characterize and analyze interdependencies between the information infrastructures and the electric power infrastructure
  - Assess the impact of interdependencies on the resilience of these infrastructures wrt occurrence of critical outages

## Major challenges:

- Model types of outages characteristic of interdependent critical infrastructures (*Cascading outages, Escalating outages and Common cause outages*)
- Develop an integrated modeling and evaluation approach taking into account accidental and malicious faults of the different infrastructures



- Model of individual infrastructures in isolation vs models combining multiple interdipendent infrastructures;
- Cope with complexity
  - Hierarchical and compositional modeling approach
- Analyze interdependences under different operation phases and regimes, with different configurations, behaviors and requirements
  - Multi-phased modeling approach
- Describe scenarios that involve variables with different orders of magnitude, or system parameters that are only partially defined
  - Stiffness problem and aggregation techniques
- Develop dynamic online modeling and evaluation methodologies to support adaptive reconfiguration strategies
  - From off-line to on-line evaluation



## **Testbed development**

# Two testbeds, integrating the electric power system and the information infrastructure

#### **Objectives of testbeds:**

- implementation of control applications (hierarchical centralized and decentralized ones) in order to better identify them;
- usage for architectural patterns;
- assessment of interdependencies, complementary to the modelling
- The first platform will be based on **power electronic converters** that are controlled from PCs interconnected over an open communication network (at K.U.Leuven)

• The latter platform will consist of **power station controllers** on a realtime control network, interconnected to corporate and control centre networks (at CESI RICERCA)

nformation So

<sup>50&</sup>lt;sup>th</sup> IFIP WG 10.4 Meeting - June 29- July 2, 2006





# **Architectural solutions**

- Definition of the overall architecture framework
  - Intrusion-tolerant architectures with and without trusted components
  - Architectural hybridization to enable trusted-trustworthy subsystem operation
- Middleware services and protocols
  - Fault and Intrusion tolerant services and protocols
  - Using distinct techniques that address different levels of criticality of the architecture
  - Able to support a diverse set of requirements from the applications





- Develop a framework to express a global security policy for the various organizations/departments involved in the infrastructure
- Base this framework on the Organisation-Based Access Control (OrBAC) model
- Monitoring mechanisms
  - Devise monitoring mechanisms allowing on-line adaptation to situations not predicted.

Main tasks:

- Fault diagnosis
- System reconfiguration





# Analysis and evaluation of Control System Scenarios

### Set-up of the modelling environment

•Selection of tools adequate to model critical infrastructure peculiarities

•Inclusion of different formalisms and relative compositional rules (support for layer and/or hierarchies) and solution algorithms under an integrator tool (candidates: DrawNET and Möbius)

## Model based evaluation

- Evaluation of defined services and protocols, in terms of metrics that capture the interdependence aspects
- Experimental validation of architectural solutions
  - Validate some of the trusted run-time components of the architecture against attacks prevention or intrusions tolerance





# More details at

http://crutial.cesiricerca.it

50th IFIP WG 10.4 Meeting - June 29- July 2, 2006