



# Challenges and Directions for Dependable Computing: Some Reflections

Brian Randell



## Preamble

- any analysis of the challenges and directions for dependable computing, to be useful, must take into account the likely future.
- three Laws which are certain to help shape this future are:
  - Moore: “The number of transistors per chip will double every eighteen months” - this law has enabled the growth of the internet
  - Metcalfe: “The usefulness of a network varies as the square of the number of users” - this law has made the growth actually happen
  - Murphy: “If anything can go wrong, it will” - this law has got to us where we are now



## 3M - A Vision of the Future, and an Antidote to Hubris

- e.g. concerning the Ambient Society, in which “everything is connected to everything”
- many of these connections will in fact be transient, many others will be unexpected and unwanted
- the whole population of inter-connected computers and computer-like devices will not be components of one defined system
- rather there will be a huge number of separately designed systems (by no means all carefully pre-specified and designed), but also systems-of-systems - both designed, and accidental
- many dependability problems will be caused by uncontrolled interactions, not necessarily via direct electronic links (and there will be infrastructure interdependencies!)



## The Primacy of Socio-Technology

- each of the “3M laws” is socio-technological, not merely technological
- and most of the major systems we need to concern ourselves with are socio-technological, i.e. computer-based systems involving people as well as computers and networks (“non-electronic links”)
- moreover, many of these systems’ problems have socio-technological causes, whose solutions need to be grounded in (good) ‘socio-technology’.



## The Wider World (1)

- public attitudes to dependability in general are confused and confusing
  - car accidents cause much less concern and attract much less publicity than plane crashes yet kill far more people - 40000 per annum!
  - dependability levels that are currently tolerated in desktop computers would not be acceptable in television sets
- what will public attitudes be to computer, and computer-caused, crashes in years to come?



## The Wider World (2)

Economic and government pressures vary, and can have major impacts regarding system dependability:

- so-called “efficiency savings” can lead to fragile systems
- automation can reduce the frequency of minor failures, but in return for occasional much more costly failures
- previous balances that were held between individuals’ rights to privacy, and the state’s ability to monitor and control data communications have shifted abruptly since Sept 11
- some argue that development of a dependable global ICT infrastructure is being impeded by government and commercial policies, and that “open source” is the solution - is this still true, assuming it ever was?



## The Wider World (3)

- software is a “natural monopoly” - (with very high development costs and virtually zero production costs, it is very difficult for new entrants to dislodge, or even co-exist alongside, a prominent market leader)
- thus in the PC world, competition is largely ineffective, e.g., in promoting dependability
- increasing software standardisation - e.g., on programming and user interfaces - presumably has a beneficial effect on the rate of at least certain types of accidental fault, as well as on development costs
- but lack of diversity contributes greatly to the impact of malicious faults



## A Technical Agenda for Dependability R&D is Insufficient

- Simply listing a set of interesting technical challenges will not produce a defensible R&D programme:
  - socio-technical problems need socio-technical expertise
  - wider world issues have to be allowed for
  - projects must be chosen and conducted so as to maximise chances of take-up and industrialization, though not necessarily in the short term
  - a Dependability R&D Programme needs to be situated in its overall context (so its relation to, e.g. other IST programmes, is crucial)
  - the Dependability R&D Community needs also to use its expertise to benefit society in general





## Some Possible Priority Topics

- the problems of subdividing responsibility (regarding functionality, error detection, and fault tolerance) between humans and computers in global computer-based systems
- intrusion-tolerant systems of (possibly mobile) systems - (in effect MAFTIA + DSoS+++!)
- systems, and systems of systems, whose interfaces and specifications are ill-defined and/or evolving, yet need to be depended on continuously
- gaining a deeper understanding of such slippery concepts as “system complexity” (akin to recent work on “diversity”)



## An Additional Dependability Research Challenge/Opportunity

- the GRID - a (very) well-funded global (socio-technological!) system, originated by the high energy physics community, initially advertised as a successor to the internet and the Web!
- first aimed at access to massive computing, like Arpanet was initially, now aimed at supporting “virtual organizations”
- Uses Linux plus Globus middleware
- IBM, Sun, etc., joining in (in part as a riposte to MS’s “.net”?)
- in US, little involvement of major CS departments, but in UK (and France?) they are becoming involved
- it raises major (short and long term) dependability issues

**See:** The Anatomy of the Grid: Enabling Scalable Virtual Organizations.

I. Foster, C. Kesselman, S. Tuecke, *Intl. J. Supercomputer Applications*, **15**(3), 2001.

<http://www.globus.org/research/papers/anatomy.pdf>

**And for a UK view:** <http://e-science.ox.ac.uk/events/19-sep-2001/hey.htm>

WG10.4, St. John, Jan. 2002



## Two Obvious Points - Regarding Research Take-Up

- it easier to attract attention to a demonstratable mechanism, whether it be a system component, or a software tool that aids some aspect of the task of designing dependable systems, than to a technique that has to be taught and learnt.
- system components that can readily be integrated into existing systems have obvious advantages - (“reflection” is the modern successor to the “transparency” ideas we exploited with the Newcastle Connection 20 years ago)



## The Wider Scene - Two Final (Again Obvious) Points

- Evidently, dependability researchers need to:
  - take an active part in efforts aimed at enhancing public understanding of science,
  - attempt to influence to relevant government and commercial policy-forming activities, and
  - encourage use of best current technical, and socio-technical practice.
- The importance of “loop-closing” (à la de Bono), e.g.
  - maintenance engineers flying in “repaired” planes
  - system developers using their own systems