Dependable Systems of the Future: What is Still Needed?

Algirdas Avižienis

Vytautas Magnus University Kaunas, Lithuania and

UCLA Computer Science Department Los Angeles,CA, USA

WCC 2004 — Program Top 3 — 23 August 2004

A Contemporary Paradox

Computing systems provide protective infrastructures for critical infrastructures of modern society: electrical power, telecommunications, transportation,...

But,

These computing systems <u>do not</u> possess a protective infrastructure of their own!

My prediction:

A fully hardware-based fault-tolerant protective infrastructure for computing systems will evolve, because it is needed as systems progress toward ever higher complexity and speed of operation

Why a Hardware Infrastructure?

- Because over the past half century hardware has not been adequately exploited to assure the dependability of computing and communication systems
- This "omission fault" needs to be corrected in order to better cope with proliferating threats to dependability and security

The FT Defenses of Contemporary Systems

- FT Defenses exist at four levels: component, board, platform, cluster.
- Weaknesses are found:
 - Components (processor, chip sets, etc.) have low error detection and containment coverage (except IBM's G5 and G6)
 - The presence of unprotected "hard core" elements, especially in the error detection and recovery management hardware and software
 - The commingling of hardware and software defenses: both must succeed in order to attain recovery
 - The absence of built-in support for multiple-channel computing that provides high coverage and containment, especially when design diversity is employed to attain design fault tolerance

Desirable Properties of the Fault Tolerance Infrastructure

- The FTI is <u>generic</u>, i.e., suitable for a variety of "client" systems
- The FTI is <u>transparent</u> to the client's software, but communicates with it
- The FTI is <u>compatible</u> with and <u>able to support</u> the client's other defenses
- The FTI is fully <u>self-protected</u> by fault tolerance, <u>immune</u> to the client's faults and to malicious software

A Design Principle: the Immune System Paradigm

- The desirable properties of the FTI are similar to those of the immune system of the human body
- Use three analogies to explain the design principle of the FTI:

Body-->HardwareConsciousness-->SoftwareImmune System-->Fault Tolerance Infrastructure

Four Key Properties of the Immune System

- It functions (i.e., detects and reacts to threats) continuously and autonomously, independently of consciousness
- Its elements (lymph nodes, other lymphoid organs, lymphocytes) are distributed throughout the body, serving all its organs
- It has its own communication links the network of lymphatic vessels
- Its elements (cells, organs, and vessels) themselves are self-defended, redundant and in several cases diverse

The Board Fault Tolerance Infrastructure



Evolution of the FTI

- 1- Replace A-nodes by A-ports in board components
- 2- Build an on-chip simplified FTI whose M and S3 nodes serve as the A-port of the chip
- 3- Develop an FTI hierarchy: board FTI, chassis FTI, cluster FTI... *Constraint: Dedicated A-lines and M-buses are needed at each level*

The Chassis Fault Tolerance Infrastructure

CHASSIS BUS



Some Interesting Applications

- Projected device failure rate of 10 FITS gives: Device MTBF of 10⁸ hours = 11,400 years R(simplex) = .99 at 114 years or R(s) = .90 at 1140 years R (1 active, 3 spares) = .9989 at 1140 years (coverage c=.99)
- The FTI will provide survival capability wrt design faults and transient catastrophic faults (temporary power loss, heavy radiation, etc.)
- Build a system for the 1000-day manned mission to Mars with the dependability of a 12-hour flight of an airliner
- Build a fault-tolerant relay chain of low cost DiSTAR spacecraft for an interstellar mission

A Spacecraft Relay Chain for Interstellar Missions

- 1. Launch a low cost DiSTAR spacecraft every N years; the design can evolve continuously
- 2. Use the chain of spacecraft to relay communications to Earth and back to the original spacecraft
- 3. Introduce redundancy at spacecraft level: every spacecraft can dependably communicate to M = 2, 3, or more, closest neighbors; then the loss of M-1 adjacent spacecraft is tolerable
- 4. Slow down all spacecraft ahead of the gap to repair the chain
- 5. Never stop launching better and better DiSTAR spacecraft!



My Thanks for 50 Years of Friendship, Learning, Teamwork and Shared Achievement to

- My mentors at the U. of Illinois: Prof. David Muller and the late Prof. James E. Robertson
- The ILLIAC 2 team at the Digital Computer Lab. of UI
- My role model at the Computer Society Prof. Ed McCluskey
- The STAR team and fellow engineers at the Spacecraft Computers section at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), especially George Gilley, Frank Mathur, Dave Rennels and John Rohr
- My faculty colleagues and 31 PhD winners at the UCLA Computer Science Department, especially Jerry Estrin, Milos Ercegovac, John Kelly, Liming Chen, Ann Tai, Kam-Sing Tso and Yutao He
- My "UCLA Club" of visiting scholars: Tom Anderson, Jean Arlat, Jean-Paul Blanquart, Y.T. Chen, Per Gunninberg, Ragnar Huslende, Jean-Claude Laprie, Takao Sasaki, Lorenzo Strigini, Pascal Traverse, Udo Voges and others

My Thanks for 50 Years of Friendship, Learning, Teamwork and Shared Achievement to

- My many friends in the IEEE-CS TC-FTCS and IFIP WG 10.4, especially those with whom we started those teams: Alain Costes, the late Bill Carter, John Meyer, Jacob Abraham, Jean-Claude Laprie, Hermann Kopetz, Yoshi Tohma, Hiro Ihara, Luca Simoncini, Mike Morganti, Dan Siewiorek, Brian Randell, Ravi lyer and many more...
- My Lithuanian colleagues with whom we restarted Vytautas Magnus University in Kaunas, city of my birth and childhood, especially Rector Vytautas Kaminskas, who was my right-hand man from day one on
- All authors of the papers being presented here
- Jean Arlat, who organized this event with great care and dedication
- And most of all, thanks to my wife Jurate, and my sons Rimas and Audrius for patiently and lovingly sharing the sometimes frantic life of a restless academic competitor